

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.asylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/888,943	06/25/2001	William A. Mittelstadt	56842US002	9282	
32692 3M INNOVA	7590 01/27/201 FIVE PROPERTIES CO	EXAM	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 33427			PATEL, NIHIR B		
ST. PAUL, M	N 55133-3427		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3772			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/27/2010	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LegalUSDocketing@mmm.com LegalDocketing@mmm.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/888,943	MITTELSTADT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
NIHIR PATEL	3772	

	NIHIR PATEL	3772					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 12 November 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>X he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request				
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(E)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core.</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better.</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);					
appeal; and/or			10 133403 101				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 San attached Nation of Nan Co.	maliant Amandment (I	DTOL 224)				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>		inpliant Amendment (i	-10L-324).				
No image of the following for the following		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	cplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 27-47 and 49-71.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	hefore or on the date of filing a No	stice of Anneal will not	be entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. M The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
<ul> <li>see attached sheet.</li> <li>12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> </ul>	DTO/SD/00) Danor No(a)						
13. Other:	гтоговгоо; гарег No(s)						
/Patricia Bianco/	/Nihir Patel/						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3772	Examiner Art Unit 3772						

Applicant's arguments filed on November 12<sup>th</sup>, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In reference to claims 27-41 and 49-71 being rejected under 35 USC 112 second paragraph, the applicant argues that there is no inconsistency in the claim language and that a person of ordinary skill can readily understand it. The examiner agrees with the applicant's argument and the 112 rejection is withdrawn.

With respect to the art rejection, the applicant further argues that nowhere does Matheson state that the valve flap becomes partially flattened once mounted to the valve seat. The examiner disagrees with the applicant's argument. Figure 2 as respected in the final rejection shows the valve flap becoming partially flattened once mounted to the valve seat as claimed and it will have a curve when the valve is open. It is clearly shown that the flap will be partially flattened when the valve is accross and closing the opening.